

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/019,613	MARIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Raymond J Henley III	1614	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Raymond J Henley III. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Rochelle K. Seide. (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 October 2004

**Time:** AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amendment filed September 20, 2004 places application in condition for allowance, but is non-compliant because it fails to list all claims. Examiner's amendment and fee for extension of time authorized.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. *Above*.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)